Volume entropy for arbitrary geometric presentations of surface groups

David Juher (with LI. Alsedà, F. Mañosas, J. Los)

Departament d'Informàtica, Matemàtica Aplicada i Estadística Universitat de Girona 6a Jornada de Sistemes Dinàmics de catalunya

Geometric group theory

Devoted to the study of the algebraic properties of finitely generated groups via the geometric and topological properties of the spaces on which such groups act.

Often, finitely generated groups G themselves are considered as geometric objects, after endowing them with a metric (usually, the *word metric*).

Presentations

A presentation $\langle X|R \rangle$ for a finitely generated group G is a set X of generators and a set R of relations (words equivalent to the identity element of G).

Example: $\langle a, b \mid ab\overline{a}\overline{b} \rangle$ Classical presentation for the fundamental group of a torus (genus g = 1, rank 2g = 2).



Presentations

Example: $\langle a, b, c, d \mid ab\overline{a}\overline{b}cd\overline{c}\overline{d} \rangle$ Classical presentation for the fundamental group of a double torus (genus g = 2, rank 2g = 4).



 $\langle a, b, c, d, e \mid acded\overline{b}, \overline{ecba} \rangle$ An exotic presentation for the same group.

Presentations

 $\langle a, b | a^2 b^2 \rangle$ $\langle a, b | ab\overline{a}b \rangle$ Classical presentations for the fundamental group of a Klein bottle (nonorientable surface of rank 2).



 $\langle a, b, c | a^2 b^2 c^2 \rangle$ Classical presentation for the fundamental group of the nonorientable surface of rank 3.

 $\langle a, b, c, d | acdb, cad\overline{b} \rangle$ An exotic presentation for the same group.

Word metric

Given a presentation $P = \langle X | R \rangle$ of G and $x \in G$, we define length_P(x) as the number of symbols of a minimal word in the alphabet $X \cup \overline{X}$ representing x.

Example: $P = \langle a, b, c, d | acdb, cad\overline{b} \rangle$

 $x = acdcad = accadd = acbd = accad\overline{ca}\overline{b}$

 $\text{length}_P(x) = 4$

A curiosity

For the presentation

$$\begin{split} P &= \langle a, b, c, d, p, q, r, t, k \mid p^{10}a = ap, p^{10}b = bp, p^{10}c = cp, \\ p^{10}d &= dp, p^{10}e = ep, aq^{10} = qa, bq^{10} = qb, cq^{10} = qc, \\ dq^{10} &= qd, eq^{10} = qe, pacqr = rpcaq, p^2adq^2r = rp^2daq^2, \\ p^3bcq^3r &= rp^3cbq^3, p^4bdq^4r = rp^4dbq^4, p^5ceq^5r = rp^5ecaq^5, \\ p^6deq^6r &= rp^6edbq^6, p^7cdcq^7r = rp^7cdceq^7, p^8ca^3q^8r = rp^8a^3q^8, \\ p^9da^3q^9r &= rp^9a^3q^9, \bar{a}^3ta^3k = k\bar{a}^3ta^3, ra = ar, rb = br, rc = cr, \\ rd &= dr, re = er, pt = tp, qt = tq \end{split}$$

the problem of determining whether two words represent the same element of the group (*word decision problem*) is **unsolvable**.

It is a directed combinatorial graph, whose vertices are identified with the elements of G. Given any vertex g and any generator a, there is an edge labeled as a going from g to ga, and an edge also labeled as a going from $g\overline{a}$ to g.



It's a regular graph since all vertices have the same degree, 2|X|.

G acts on the Cayley graph by right product: words = paths.

 $\langle a,b\,|\,ab\overline{a}\overline{b}\rangle$ Classical presentation for the fundamental group of a torus



 $\langle a,b,c\,|\,a^2b^2c^2\rangle$ Classical presentation, nonorientable surface of rank 3



 $\langle a,b,c,d\,|\,ab\bar{a}\bar{b}cd\bar{c}\bar{d}\rangle$ Classical presentation for the fundamental group of a double torus



Volume entropy

Let G be a finitely generated group and let $P = \langle X | R \rangle$ be a presentation of G.

$$\sigma_m := \operatorname{Card}\{g \in G : \operatorname{length}_{\mathsf{P}}(g) = m\},\$$

is the number of vertices at distance m from the identity in the Cayley graph.

Its exponential growth rate is called the *volume entropy*, defined as

$$h_{\text{VOI}}(G, P) = \lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{1}{m} \log(\sigma_m).$$

It is not a group invariant: it depends on the presentation.

Volume entropy

An example: the free group $G = \langle a_1, a_2, \dots, a_N | \emptyset \rangle$ of rank N.

$$\begin{array}{ll} m = 1 : & a, b, \overline{a}, \overline{b} \longrightarrow \sigma_1 = 4 \\ m = 2 : & aa, ab, a\overline{b}, & ba, bb, b\overline{a}, & \overline{a}b, \overline{a}\overline{a}, \overline{a}\overline{b}, & \overline{b}a, \overline{b}\overline{a}, \overline{b}\overline{b} \longrightarrow \sigma_2 = 12 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \end{array}$$

$$\sigma_m = 2N(2N-1)^{m-1}$$
$$h_{\text{VOI}}(G,P) = \lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{1}{m} \log(\sigma_m) = \boxed{\log(2N-1)}$$

The context

We will consider **geometric presentations** of fundamental groups of (orientable and non-orientable) surfaces **of rank** $N \ge 3$. Equivalently, of negative Euler characteristic. Equivalently (for orientable surfaces), of genus $g \ge 2$.

A presentation is called **geometric** if the associated Cayley graph is **planar**.

All previously shown presentations were geometric.

 $\langle a, b, c, d \, | \, \overline{d}acdb, c\overline{d}ad\overline{b} \rangle$ a non-geometric presentation for the double torus group.

The context: hyperbolicity

We note that the considered surfaces (rank $N \ge 3$) are **hyper-bolic** in the geometrical sense: they can be endowed with a hyperbolic metric (each point has an open neighbour isometric to the hyperbolic plane).

The corresponding fundamental groups are **hyperbolic** in the geometric group theory sense [Gromov, 1980]: for the associated Cayley graph, there is a constant δ such that every geodesic triangle is δ -thin.

The family of all hyperbolic groups has some nice properties. For instance, the word decision problem is solvable.

Geometric presentations

Lemma 1. Let $P = \langle X | R \rangle = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N | R_1, R_2, \dots, R_k \rangle$ be a geometric presentation of a surface group G. Then,

- (a) The set $\{x_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, x_N^{\pm 1}\}$ admits a cyclic ordering that is preserved by the *G*-action.
- (b) Each generator appears exactly twice (with plus or minus exponent) in the set R of relations.
- (c) Let a, b be a pair of adjacent generators according to the cyclic ordering given by (a). Then, there is exactly one relation R_i such that a cyclic shift of R_i contains either $b^{-1}a$ or $a^{-1}b$ as a sub-word.

Lemma 1(a)

The set $\{x_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, x_N^{\pm 1}\}$ admits a cyclic ordering that is preserved by the *G*-action.



Geometricity test

Lemma 1 can be used to construct an algorithm that takes as input a presentation P and tests whether P is geometric.

 $P_{1} = \langle a, b, c, d \mid adac, cbdb \rangle$ $P_{2} = \langle a, b, c, d, e \mid abc, ce\bar{a}, b\bar{c}d^{2} \rangle$ $P_{3} = \langle a, b, c, d \mid aba\bar{b}d, c^{2}d \rangle$

 P_2 is not geometric since it does not satisfy Lemma 1(b).

 P_1, P_3 satisfy Lemma 1 (b), but P_1 does not satisfy (a):

Geometricity test

 $P_1 = \langle a, b, c, d \, | \, adac, cbdb \rangle$



The circles numbered by i indicate the angles used to attach the cell at step i of the algorithm. After 3 steps we cannot continue.

Geometricity test

 $P_{3} = \langle a, b, c, d \mid aba\overline{b}d, c^{2}d \rangle$



Round of 8 steps completed: P_3 is a geometric presentation. Obtained cyclic ordering: $(a, \overline{d}, c, \overline{c}, d, b, \overline{a}, \overline{b})$.

Main goal

Construct an algorithm that takes as input a presentation P of a surface group, checks whether P is geometric and, in the affirmative, computes the associated volume entropy.

In the literature, the explicit computation of the volume entropy exists only for a particular case: the **classical** presentations.

Let us see this "straightforward" computation for the nonorientable surface group of rank 3 (just to be aware of the difficulty of the problem for an **arbitrary** geometric presentation). Classical presentation $P = \langle a, b, c | a^2 b^2 c^2 \rangle$

 $h_{\text{VOI}}(G, P) = \lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{1}{m} \log(\sigma_m)$ where σ_m is the number of vertices at distance *m* from *Id* in the Cayley graph.



Forget about the labels and the orientations of the edges, since σ_m depends only on the shape of the Cayley graph.

Note that every vertex belongs to 6 hexagons.

Note that, given an hexagon H, there is exactly one vertex in H at minimum distance from Id. We call it the **base vertex** of H and we say that the **type of** v **inside** H is 0, denoted as t(v, H) = 0.

Now, we say that a vertex v inside H has type i, denoted as t(v, H) = i, if v is a successor of a vertex of H of type i - 1.

It is clear that any hexagon H contains a vertex of type 0, 2 vertices of type 1, 2 vertices of type 2 and 1 vertex of type 3.



Now we classify all vertices (different from *Id*) in three families:

 F_1 : they are base points of 4 hexagons and have type 1 with respect the other 2 hexagons

 F_2 : they are base points of 4 hexagons and have type 1 and 2 with respect the other 2 hexagons

 F_3 : they are base points of 3 hexagons and have type 1, 1 and 3 with respect the other 3 hexagons



- Each $v \in F_1$ has 3 successors in F_1 and 2 successors in F_2
- Each v ∈ F₂ has 3 successors in F₁, 1 successor in F₂ and 1 successor in F₃
- Each $v \in F_3$ has 2 successors in F_1 and 2 successors in F_2

Let v_m^i be the number of vertices in F_i at distance m from Id. $\begin{pmatrix} v_{m+1}^1 \\ v_{m+1}^2 \\ v_{m+1}^3 \\ v_{m+1}^3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 3 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1/2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v_m^1 \\ v_m^2 \\ v_m^3 \\ v_m^3 \end{pmatrix} = A \begin{pmatrix} v_m^1 \\ v_m^2 \\ v_m^3 \\ v_m^3 \end{pmatrix}$

Note that $\sigma_m = v_m^1 + v_m^2 + v_m^3 = ||(v_m^1, v_m^2, v_m^3)||_1$.

By Gelfand's formula,

$$\rho(A) = \lim_{m \to \infty} ||A^m||_1^{1/m}$$

So, the volume entropy can be computed as $log(\rho(A))$.

The characteristic polynomial of A is $\lambda^3 - 4\lambda^2 - 4\lambda + 1$, with largest real root $\rho(A) = 4.791287847$. So,

$$h_{VOI}(G, P) = \log(4.791287847)$$

Computation for an arbitrary geometric presentation

In no way the previous straightforward computation can be extended to a presentation as $P_3 = \langle a, b, c, d | aba\overline{b}d, c^2d \rangle$



In particular, the previous computation was possible since the Cayley graph of the classical presentation was bipartite (all cycles of even length).

The result

We have solved the problem of computing algorithmically the volume entropy of any geometric presentation of a surface group of rank $N \ge 3$ (hyperbolic groups).

LI. Alsedà, D. Juher, J. Los, F. Mañosas, *Entropy stability and Milnor-Thurston invariants for Bowen-Series-like maps*, preprint (2023).

The program is written in Maple and Maxima and is freely available to the scientific community.

The problem (posed by J. Los) comes from Geometric Group Theory and has been solved using Dynamical Systems tools.

Minimal bigons

If we complete the cells adjacent to Id up to the closest vertices for which there is geodesic ambiguity, we get what we call the **minimal bigons**. It turns out that this is all we need to compute the volume entropy. $P_3 = \langle a, b, c, d | aba\overline{b}d, c^2d \rangle$



Boundary ∂G of a hyperbolic group G [Gromov, 1980]

A geodesic ray is an infinite word in the alphabet $X \cup \overline{X}$ such that any finite subword is geodesic. Equivalently, an infinite unbounded path in the Cayley graph starting at Id such that every subsegment is geodesic.

The **boundary** ∂G of G is a topological, metric space. Any point in the boundary is an equivalence class of geodesic rays that remain at a uniform bounded distance from each others.

In our context, $\partial G = \mathbb{S}^1$.

Cylinders

The **cylinder** C_x for a generator $x \in X \cup \overline{X}$ is the subset of points $\zeta \in \partial G$ such that there exists a ray (infinite word) W converging to ζ and starting with x.

Lemma 2. The cylinders satisfy:

- (a) C_x is connected and $C_x \cap C_y \neq \emptyset$ if and only if x and y are adjacent generators in the cyclic ordering. In this case it is an interval.
- (b) For any $\theta \in C_x \cap C_y$, there is an infinite word W such that $\theta \in \partial G$ has two geodesic ray expressions $L_x W$ and $L_y W$, where $\{L_x, L_y\}$ are the two geodesic segments of the minimal bigon $\beta(x, y)$.

Cylinders



Notation

The elements of $X \cup \overline{X}$ will be denoted by x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{2N} , where the indices are defined modulo 2N, in such a way that x_j is adjacent to $x_{j\pm 1}$ in the cyclic ordering given by Lemma 1(a).



Cutting points

By Lemma 2(b) there are 2N disjoint intervals

$$J_j := \mathcal{C}_{x_{j-1}} \cap \mathcal{C}_{x_j} \subset \mathbb{S}^1.$$

For each $\Theta := (\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_{2N}) \in J_1 \times J_2 \times \dots \times J_{2N}$ we consider the finite partition of \mathbb{S}^1 given by the intervals

$$I_j := [\theta_j, \theta_{j+1}) \subset \mathbb{S}^1.$$

The points θ_j are called **cutting points** and Θ is called the **cutting parameter**.

Cutting points



Cutting points and codings

When choosing a particular $\Theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_{2N})$, we are fixing the coding of any point $z \in \mathbb{S}^1$ as an infinite word in the alphabet $X \cup \overline{X}$.



Cutting points and codings

When choosing a particular $\Theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_{2N})$, we are fixing the coding of any point $z \in \mathbb{S}^1$ as an infinite word in the alphabet $X \cup \overline{X}$.



Bowen-Series-like maps

For each cutting parameter $\Theta := (\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_{2N})$ we consider the map

$$\Phi_{\Theta} \colon \mathbb{S}^1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$$
 such that $\Phi_{\Theta}(z) = x_j^{-1}(z)$ if $z \in I_j$.

Such a map is called a **Bowen-Series-like map**.

From the combinatorial point of view (points = infinite words), we are simply deleting the first symbol: $\Phi_{\Theta}(x_j abcd \cdots) = abcd \cdots$ So, Φ_{Θ} is nothing but the standard **shift map**.

> Parameter $\Theta \Leftrightarrow$ Partition $\mathbb{S}^1 = \bigcup_{j=1}^{2N} I_j$ \Leftrightarrow Fixed word for each $z \in \mathbb{S}^1 \Leftrightarrow$ Shift map Φ_{Θ}

Bowen-Series-like maps

We have thus a family of maps Φ_{Θ} indexed by $\Theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_{2N})$, the cutting parameter.

Properties:

1. $\Phi_{\Theta}|_{I_j}$ is a homeomorphism onto its image. 2. At the cutting points the map is not continuous.

 Φ_{Θ} is, thus, a **piecewise homeomorphism** of $\partial G = \mathbb{S}^1$.



Topological entropy

Defined for continuous (Adler, Konheim, McAndrew) and discontinuous (Bowen) self-maps maps of compact spaces. For piecewise continuous piecewise monotone maps $\Phi \colon \mathbb{S}^1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$ of the circle, it can be defined as follows (Misiurewicz, Ziemian).

Let $\mathbb{S}^1 = \bigcup_{j=1}^{2N} I_j$ be a partition of \mathbb{S}^1 by intervals such that Φ restricted to each I_j is a homeomorphism.

For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the *itinerary intervals of level* m are defined as

$$I_{j_0,j_1,\ldots,j_{m-1}} := I_{j_0} \cap \Phi^{-1}(I_{j_1}) \cap \ldots \cap \Phi^{-(m-1)}(I_{j_{m-1}})$$

 $X_m :=$ number of non-empty intervals $I_{j_0,j_1,...,j_{m-1}}$ of level m.

$$h_{top}(\Phi) = \lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{1}{m} \log(X_m)$$

The main theorem

$$h_{\text{vol}}(G, P) = \lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{1}{m} \log(\sigma_m)$$
$$h_{\text{top}}(\Phi_{\Theta}) = \lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{1}{m} \log(X_m)$$

Proposition 3. The following inequalities are satisfied for each parameter Θ :

$$\sigma_m \le X_m \le m\sigma_m.$$

Main Theorem. Let G be a surface group of rank larger than 2 and let P be any geometric presentation of G. Then, for any cutting parameter Θ , $h_{top}(\Phi_{\Theta}) = h_{vol}(G, P) = \log(\lambda)$, where $1/\lambda$ is the smallest root in (0, 1) of an integer polynomial $Q_P(t)$ that can be explicitly computed from P.

Comments

The entropy stability property inside the family of Bowen-Serieslike maps Φ_{Θ} is remarkable, since the dynamics of two different maps in the family are quite different, in particular they are not pairwise topologically conjugate or even semi-conjugate. For some choices of the parameters Θ the map Φ_{Θ} is Markov, unlike for other choices.

The theorem states that the volume entropy of the group presentation P can be computed as the inverse of a real root of an integer polynomial that can be **algorithmically** obtained from P, by using the Milnor-Thurston theory of kneading invariants.

The theory was originally stated for continuous piecewise monotone maps f of the interval. It states that the entropy of fcan be computed knowing the itineraries of the **turning points** (points separating maximal intervals of monotonicity of f).

I can be adapted (Alsedà, Mañosas) to our context (piecewise continuous, piecewise monotone maps Φ_{Θ} of the circle) by considering the interval map $\widehat{\Phi}_{\Theta}$: $[0,1] \longrightarrow [0,1]$ defined as

$$\widehat{\Phi}_{\Theta}(x) = \widetilde{\Phi}_{\Theta}(x) - E(\widetilde{\Phi}_{\Theta}(x)),$$

where $\tilde{\Phi}_{\Theta}$ is the lifting of Φ_{Θ} and E(y) is the integer part of y.

It is necessary to consider the discontinuity points as turning points.



Set of turning points:

$$\theta_a < m_a < \theta_{\overline{d}} < m_{\overline{d}} < \theta_c < m_c < \theta_{\overline{c}} < m_{\overline{c}} < \theta_d < m_d < \theta_b < \theta_{\overline{a}} < \theta_{\overline{b}} < m_{\overline{b}}.$$

The number and ordering of the intervals in the partition is independent of the particular choice of the cutting points θ_{x_i} .

Now we must find the dynamical itinerary of each turning point from the left and from the right. So, now we need to **precise** the map Θ_{Φ} . In other words, we need to **choose the cutting points** $\Theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_{2N})$. Recall that **any** choice leads to the same entropy! So, we are free.

The cutting points θ_i have geodesic ambiguity

$$\theta_i = L \cdots = R \cdots$$

up to the top vertex v of the bigon $\beta(x_{i-1}, x_i) = \{L, R\}$.

Choice: we choose the cutting point θ_i in such a way that there is no geodesic ambiguity from v:

$$\theta_i = LW = RW$$

for a unique infinite word W. Equivalently, the word W corresponds to a point that does not belong to the intersection of cylinders. In particular, is not a cutting point.



 $\begin{array}{l} \theta_{\overline{d}(+)} \in I_{\overline{d}}^{l}, \ \Phi(\theta_{\overline{d}})_{(+)} \in I_{b}, \ \Phi^{2}(\theta_{\overline{d}})_{(+)} \in I_{b}, \ \Phi^{3}(\theta_{\overline{d}})_{(+)} \in I_{a}^{r}. \\ \theta_{\overline{d}(-)} \in I_{a}^{r}, \ \Phi(\theta_{\overline{d}})_{(-)} \in I_{b}, \ \Phi^{2}(\theta_{\overline{d}})_{(-)} \in I_{\overline{d}}^{l}, \Phi^{3}(\theta_{\overline{d}})_{(-)} \in I_{b} \end{array}$

$$\begin{array}{l} \theta_{\overline{d}(+)} \in I_{\overline{d}}^{l}, \ \Phi(\theta_{\overline{d}})_{(+)} \in I_{b}, \ \Phi^{2}(\theta_{\overline{d}})_{(+)} \in I_{b}, \ \Phi^{3}(\theta_{\overline{d}})_{(+)} \in I_{a}^{r}. \\ \theta_{\overline{d}(-)} \in I_{a}^{r}, \ \Phi(\theta_{\overline{d}})_{(-)} \in I_{b}, \ \Phi^{2}(\theta_{\overline{d}})_{(-)} \in I_{\overline{d}}^{l}, \Phi^{3}(\theta_{\overline{d}})_{(-)} \in I_{b} \end{array}$$

Now we consider the formal symbols

$$\omega_{0}(\theta_{\bar{d}}^{+}) = I_{\bar{d}}^{l}, \ \omega_{1}(\theta_{\bar{d}}^{+}) = I_{b}, \ \omega_{2}(\theta_{\bar{d}}^{+}) = -I_{b}, \ \omega_{3}(\theta_{\bar{d}}^{+}) = I_{a}^{r},$$
$$\omega_{0}(\theta_{\bar{d}}^{-}) = I_{a}^{r}, \ \omega_{1}(\theta_{\bar{d}}^{-}) = I_{b}, \ \omega_{2}(\theta_{\bar{d}}^{-}) = -I_{\bar{d}}^{l}, \ \omega_{3}(\theta_{\bar{d}}^{-}) = -I_{b},$$

where the signs +/- correspond to the increasing/decreasing character of the corresponding iterate of the map.

Finally we construct the **jump series** for $\theta_{\overline{d}}$, a formal power series in the alphabet of the intervals $\{I_a^l, I_a^r, \ldots\}$:

$$\nu_j(\theta_{\bar{d}}) = \Omega_{v_j}(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left(\omega_i(\theta_{\bar{d}}^+) - \omega_i(\theta_{\bar{d}}^-) \right) t^i.$$

By the choice of the cutting point $\theta_{\overline{d}}$, the jump series vanishes beyond the length of the minimal bigon. So, it reduces to a polynomial:

$$\nu_{\theta_d}(t) = (I_{\bar{d}}^l - I_a^r) + (-I_b + I_{\bar{d}}^l)t^2 + (I_a^r + I_b)t^3$$

List of kneading invariants (I_x, I_x^l, I_x^r) replaced by x, x_l, x_r :

$$\begin{split} \nu_{\theta_a}(t) &= (a_l - \bar{b}_r) + (\bar{b}_l + \bar{a})t + (-\bar{c}_r + c_r)t^2 \\ \nu_{m_a}(t) &= (a_r - a_l) + t\nu_{\theta_a}(t) \\ \nu_{\theta_{\bar{d}}}(t) &= (\bar{d}_l - a_r) + (-b + \bar{d}_l)t^2 + (a_r + b)t^3 \\ \nu_{m_{\bar{d}}}(t) &= (\bar{d}_r - \bar{d}_l) + t\nu_{\theta_a}(t) \\ \nu_{\theta_c}(t) &= (c_l - \bar{d}_r) + (-\bar{d}_l - c_r)t \\ \nu_{m_c}(t) &= (c_r - c_l) + t\nu_{\theta_a}(t) \\ \nu_{\theta_{\bar{b}}}(t) &= (\bar{c}_l - c_r) + (-a_r + b)t + (-b - \bar{a})t^2 \\ \nu_{m_{\bar{c}}}(t) &= (\bar{c}_r - \bar{c}_l) + t\nu_{\theta_a}(t) \\ \nu_{\theta_d}(t) &= (d_l - \bar{c}_r) + (\bar{c}_r + d_l)t \\ \nu_{m_d}(t) &= (d_r - d_l) + t\nu_{\theta_a}(t) \\ \nu_{\theta_{\bar{b}}}(t) &= (\bar{b} - d_r) + (-\bar{a} - a_r)t + (-\bar{a} - d_r)t^2 + (-\bar{b}_l - a_r)t^3 \\ \nu_{\theta_{\bar{a}}}(t) &= (\bar{b}_l - \bar{a}) + (-d_l - \bar{b}_r)t + (-\bar{b}_r + \bar{b}_l)t^2 \\ \nu_{m_{\bar{b}}}(t) &= (\bar{b}_l - \bar{a}) + (-d_l - \bar{b}_r)t + (-\bar{b}_r + \bar{b}_l)t^2 + (\bar{a} - d_l)t^3 \\ \nu_{m_{\bar{b}}}(t) &= (\bar{b}_r - \bar{b}_l) + t\nu_{\theta_a}(t) \end{split}$$

Finally, we formally write the above kneading invariants as a linear combination of the base

$$(a_l, a_r, \overline{d_l}, \overline{d_r}, c_l, c_r, \overline{c_l}, \overline{c_r}, d_l, d_r, b, \overline{a}, b_l, b_r)$$

and organize the coefficients of all invariants but the first one in matrix form, obtaining the following 13×14 kneading matrix:

-	-1 + t	1	0	0	0	t^3	0	$-t^3$	0	0	0	t^2	t^2	-t
	0	$-1 + t^3 1$	$+ t^{2}$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	$-t^2 + t^3$	0	0	0
	t	0	-1	1	0	t^3	0	$-t^{3}$	0	0	0	t^2	t^2	-t
	0	0	-t	$^{-1}$	1	-t	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	t	0	0	0	-11	$+ t^{3}$	0	$-t^{3}$	0	0	0	t^2	t^2	-t
	0	-t	0	0	0	-1	1	0	0	0	$t-t^2$	$-t^{2}$	0	0
	t	0	0	0	0	t^3	-1	$1-t^3$	0	0	0	t^2	t^2	-t
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1 + t	1 + t	0	0	0	0	0
	t	0	0	0	0	t^3	0	$-t^{3}$	-1	1	0	t^2	t^2	-t
	0	$-t-t^3$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	$-1 - t^2$	1	$-t-t^2$	$-t^3$	0
	t^2	t	t	t^3	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1	$1 + t^2$	t^3	0
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	$-t - t^{3}$	0	0	$-1 + t^3$	$1 + t^2$	$-t - t^2$
	t	0	0	0	0	t^3	0	$-t^{3}$	0	0	0	t^2	$-1 + t^2$	1-t

Now we delete any column (for instance, the first one) and compute the determinant D of the obtained 13×13 matrix. The only factor of D containing real roots in [0,1) is

 $t^{10} - 3t^9 - 14t^8 - 13t^7 - 17t^6 - 12t^5 - 17t^4 - 13t^3 - 14t^2 - 3t + 1$, and the smallest root is $\lambda \approx 0.170554162$.

The volume entropy of the presentation P_3 is then

 $\log(1/\lambda) \approx \log(5.86324007)$.

It all depends on the presentation

Analyzing carefully all steps, one realizes that all the information used (graph of the map, minimal bigons, itineraries, kneading invariants) depends, at the end, only on the presentation:

 $P_{3} = \langle a, b, c, d \mid aba\overline{b}d, c^{2}d \rangle$

Examples

Presentation (relations)	Program output	Polynomial				
$[acded \overline{b}, \overline{e}\overline{c}b\overline{a}]$	$\log(8.50591006)$	$t^4 - 7t^3 - 12t^2 - 7t + 1$				
$[acdear{b},ar{d}ear{c}bar{a}]$	$\log(8.78515105)$	$t^4 - 8t^3 - 6t^2 - 8t + 1$				
		$t^{20} - 4t^{19} - 44t^{18} - 122t^{17}$				
		$-206t^{16} - 280t^{15} - 381t^{14} - 484t^{13}$				
$[aba\bar{c}d, ce^2, dbf^2]$	$\log(9.91984307)$	$-579t^{12} - 606t^{11} - 606t^{10} - 606t^9$				
		$-579t^8 - 484t^7 - 381t^6 - 280t^5$				
		$-206t^4 - 122t^3 - 44t^2 - 4t + 1$				
$[aihlk\bar{c}a, \bar{c}e^2,$						
$dbf^2k, g\bar{h}j^2, idgb\bar{l}]$	Non geometric	—				
		$t^{20} - 13t^{19} - 80t^{18} - 149t^{17}$				
$[aia\bar{c}h, ce^2, dbf^2,$		$-187t^{16} - 196t^{15} - 252t^{14} - 348t^{13}$				
$g\bar{h}j^2, idgb]$	$\log(17.9527833)$	$-370t^{12} - 426t^{11} - 312t^{10} - 426t^9$				
		$-370t^8 - 348t^7 - 252t^6 - 196t^5$				
		$-187t^4 - 149t^3 - 80t^2 - 13t + 1$				

TABLE 1. Some outputs of the algorithm.